

STATE OF MINNESOTA RIM CONSERVATION EASEMENT

ENHANCEMENT CAPACITY SURVEY

La	ndowner Name	Easement ID #		County								
		SWCD Staff		Date of Survey								
Please fill in the following questions for each Enhancement Unit.												
		Check the option that best aligns with the Enhancement Unit Description: ☐ Practice Area # ☐ Practice Area T ☐ Acreage ☐ Geographic Are		Goal Cover Type ☐ Grassland ☐ Wetland ☐ Woodland ☐ Other								
1.	Is the Enhancement Unit accessi	ble to large equipn	nent? ☐ Yes ☐ No									
2.	Check the response that most ac Enhancement Unit (aligning with 0-10 11-20 21-30	•	• •	w many native species are on the								
3.	Are there any signs of erosion or If yes, approximately how m If yes, is there >6% slope?	uch bare ground is	: = .	d soil) □ Yes □ No								
4.	Check all invasive species found within the Enhancement Unit from the list below. Only list other invasive species if they pose a risk to the integrity of the plant community. Note: Enhancement Units with over 50% areal cover of species with the asterisk (*) symbol are not feasible for enhancement.											
	Birdsfoot Trefoil (Lotus corniculatus Bull Thistle (Cirsium vulgare) Canada Thistle (Cirsium arvense) Common Tansy (Tanacetum vulgar Creeping Charlie (Glechoma geder Crown Vetch (Securrigera varia) Garlic Mustard (Alliaria petiolate) Leafy Spurge (Euphorbia esula) Poison Hemlock (Conium maculatus Plumeless Thistle (Carduus acanth Spotted Knapweed (Centaurea stock Sweet Clover (Melitotus alba; M. Comita Wild Parsnip (Pastinaca sativa) Other nes Round Leaf Bittersweet (Celastrus	e) acea) um) oides) ebe) fficinalis)	☐ Kentucky Bluegr ☐ Johnson Grass (S) ☐ Reed Canary Gra ☐ Smooth Brome (G) ☐ Other Woody Plants ☐ Black Locust (Ro) ☐ Common Buckth ☐ Cottonwood (Po) ☐ Glossy Buckthor ☐ Japanese Honey ☐ Red Cedar (Junip) ☐ Red-Osier Dogw	obinia pseudoacacia) norn (Rhamnus cathartica)* opulus deltoides) on (Frangula alnus) osuckle (Lonicera japonica) operus virginiana) opod (Cornus sericea) laeagnus angustifolia)								
	Swallowort (<i>Cynanchum louiseae;</i> Other		· ·	(Populus tremuloides)								
	No invasive/noxious weeds prese	nt										

5.	Select the areal cover (%) of the Enhancement Unit that best represents each of the following functional groups.												
a. b. c.	es/Shrubs 0 – 25% 25 – 50% 50 – 75% 75 – 100%	Forbs a. 0 – 25% b. 25 – 50 c. 50 – 75 d. 75 – 10	% a. 0% b. 5% c.	ges/Rushes 0 – 25% 25 – 50% 50 – 75% 75 – 100%	a. b. c.	I-Season Grasses 0 - 25% 25 - 50% 50 - 75% 75 - 100%	a. b. c.	m-Season Gr 0 – 25% 25 – 50% 50 – 75% 75 – 100%	asses				
6.	Has the Enhancement Unit ever been used for row crop agriculture? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure												
7.	Has there ever been managed haying or grazing within the Enhancement Unit? \Box Yes \Box No \Box Unsure If yes, when was it last hayed/grazed?												
8.	Has there ever been prescribed fire within the Enhancement Unit? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure												
	If yes, when was it last burned?												
9.		Has the Enhancement Unit been seeded outside of the initial restoration activities at time of acquisition? \Box Yes \Box No \Box Unsure											
	If yes, attach a	nny relevant	documenta	tion (e.g., seed t	ags, i	nvoices, etc.)							
10.		Does the Enhancement Unit display signs of an affected buffer zone (e.g., wilting/distressed vegetation within 30 feet of the edge of agricultural fields)? \square Yes \square No											
11.	Are hydric and/or floodplain soils present within the Enhancement Unit? \square Yes \square No												
12.	12. Check all proposed management actions for enhancement. (Refer to Enhancement Guides)												
	☐ Woody I	Removal	☐ Erosio	n Control	□ In	vasive Species Mana	gem	ent					
	□ Seeding □ Other		☐ Prescr	ibed Fire	□м	anaged Haying/Graz	ing						
			_	•		de general notes. <i>ment,</i> please list the	spe	cies below.					

If there are feasibility concerns/risk factors for the selected management action(s), explain below.