BOARD OF WATER AND SOIL RESOURCES

BOARD ORDER

Clean Water Fund Watershed Based Implementation Funding Program

PURPOSE

Authorize the fiscal years 2024-2025 Clean Water Fund Watershed Based Implementation Funding (WBIF) Program and adopt the Program Policy.

FINDINGS OF FACT / RECITALS

- 1. The Laws of Minnesota 2023, Chapter 40, Article 2, Section 6 (a) appropriated \$39,500,000 for fiscal year 2024 and \$39,500,000 for fiscal year 2025 to implement activities in watershed plans.
- 2. The Board has authorities under Minnesota Statutes §103B.101 to award grants and contracts to accomplish water and related land resources management.
- 3. The Board has authorities under Minnesota Statutes §103B.101, Subd. 14 and 103B.801 to approve comprehensive watershed management plans, Minnesota Statutes §103B.255 to approve county groundwater plans, Minnesota Statutes §103C.401 to approve soil and water conservation district plans, and Minnesota Statutes §103B.231 to approved watershed management plans.
- 4. WBIF is based on a comprehensive and holistic approach to watershed management and includes funding local implementation actions to restore and protect both groundwater and surface water.
- 5. The fiscal years 2024-2025 Clean Water Fund WBIF Program policy was created to provide expectations for subsequent implementation activities conducted with these funds.
- 6. The Grants Program and Policy Committee, at their July 24 and August 14, 2023 meetings, discussed and recommended allocations of fiscal years 2024-2025 Clean Water Fund WBIF that includes: a) a \$250,000 base per watershed planning area outside of the Metro, b) a \$100,000 base per watershed planning area inside of the metro, and c) a distribution of funds based on a weighting of 90% private land and 10% on public waters to all eligible areas.
- 7. The Grants Program and Policy Committee, at their July 24 and August 14, 2023 meetings, reviewed the fiscal year 2024-2025 Clean Water Fund WBIF Program policy, and proposed funding allocations, and recommended approval to the Board.

ORDER

The Board hereby:

- 1. Adopts the attached fiscal years 2024-2025 Clean Water Fund WBIF Program Policy.
- 2. Adopts the attached map in Figure 1: Twin Cities Metropolitan Area Allocation Boundaries for depicting the metro allocations in Table 2.
- 3. Authorizes staff to establish a schedule and enter into grant agreements consistent with statutory appropriations and the attached:
 - a. Table 1: FY2024 and FY2025 WBIF Grant Allocations (excludes 7-county metro area)
 - b. Table 2: FY2024 and FY2025 WBIF Metro Only Grant Allocations

Note: Fiscal 2025 funds will not be available until July 1, 2024, and some recipients may not receive funds until after this date.

- 4. Authorizes staff to adjust the timing and availability of funding identified in Tables 1 and 2 based on timing of plan approval, sufficiency of partner coordination, readiness to proceed, commitment of nonstate match, or expenditure of previously awarded Watershed Based Implementation Funds.
- 5. Authorizes staff to distribute remaining or otherwise undesignated grant funds from FY2024-2025 and previous WBIF appropriations, consistent with the purpose of the WBIF program.

Dated at Apple Valley, Minnesota, this August 24, 2023.

MINNESOTA BOARD OF WATER AND SOIL RESOURCES

Date: 8/24/2023

Todd Holman, Chair Board of Water and Soil Resources

Attachments:

• FY 2024-2025 Clean Water Fund WBIF Program Policy

1W1P Planning Area #	1W1P Name	24-25 Allocation Amount	Allocation Year
35	Bois de Sioux-Mustinka	\$ 1,594,226	2025
37	Buffalo-Red River	\$ 1,906,278	2024
54	Cannon River	\$ 1,536,990	2025
33	Cedar River	\$ 974,677	2024
20	Chippewa River	\$ 2,163,227	2024
43	Clearwater River	\$ 1,485,882	2025
23	Cottonwood River	\$ 1,958,370	2025
7	Crow Wing River	\$ 1,677,248	2025
34	Des Moines River	\$ 1,736,891	2025
30	Greater Zumbro River	\$ 1,897,768	2024
53	Hawk Creek - Middle Minnesota	\$ 1,504,444	2024
27	Kettle and Upper St. Croix	\$ 1,412,047	2024
18	Lac qui Parle-Yellow Bank	\$ 1,228,526	2025
51	Lake of the Woods	\$ 621,173	2024
1	Lake Superior North	\$ 1,043,910	2024
26	Le Sueur River	\$ 1,355,872	2024
4	Leech Lake River	\$ 598,665	2024
9	Long Prairie River	\$ 1,032,278	2025
56	Lower Minnesota River East	\$ 538,396	2024
55	Lower Minnesota River West	\$ 1,004,297	2025
29	Lower St. Croix River	\$ 778,691	2025
44	Middle-Snake-Tamarac Rivers	\$ 1,620,713	2025
5	Mississippi River - Grand Rapids	\$ 1,324,119	2025
61	Mississippi River Brainerd	\$ 1,492,655	2024
3	Mississippi River Headwaters	\$ 1,013,278	2024
11	Mississippi River St. Cloud	\$ 1,271,008	2025
52	Missouri River Basin	\$ 2,096,184	2024
64	Nemadji River	\$ 469,317	2025
12	North Fork Crow River	\$ 1,518,486	2024
36	Otter Tail	\$ 1,507,070	2025
6	Pine River	\$ 634,381	2024
17	Pomme de Terre River	\$ 1,006,033	2025
50	Rainy - Rapid River	\$ 520,667	2024
67	Rainy Lake Koochiching Co (butterfly)	\$ 558,419	2025
47	Rainy River Headwaters - Vermillion River	\$ 1,004,508	2024
41	Red Lake River	\$ 1,700,439	2024
8	Redeye River	\$ 1,112,800	2025
32	Root River	\$ 2,300,950	2024
46	Roseau River	\$ 864,534	2025
15	Rum River	\$ 1,331,559	2025


 Table 1. FY 2024-2025 WBIF Grant Allocations (excludes 7-county metro area)

39	Sand Hill River	\$ 705,267	2024
10	Sauk River	\$ 1,212,865	2024
63	Shell Rock River/Winnebago Watershed	\$ 547,409	2024
28	Snake River	\$ 1,024,471	2024
13	South Fork of the Crow River	\$ 1,286,465	2024
2	St. Louis River	\$ 2,228,654	2024
42	Thief River	\$ 702,239	2024
45	Two Rivers Plus	\$ 1,662,685	2024
16	Upper Minnesota River	\$ 675,322	2024
40	Upper/Lower Red Lake	\$ 782,449	2025
25	Watonwan River	\$ 1,136,479	2024
38	Wild Rice - Marsh	\$ 1,993,181	2025
31	Winona/La Crescent	\$ 896,267	2025
19	Yellow Medicine River	\$ 1,323,460	2024
	TOTAL	\$ 67,574,192	

1W1P Planning Area #	Metro Watershed Planning Area (WPA) or 1W1P Name	F	Y24-25 Funding Amount	Allocation Year
Metro	Bassett Creek WPA	\$	183,256	2025
Metro	Black Dog WPA	\$	151,542	2025
54	Cannon River (Metro)	\$	395,361	2025
Metro	Capitol Region WPA	\$	176,241	2025
Metro	Carver County WPA	\$	721,325	2025
Metro	Coon Creek WPA	\$	294,100	2025
Metro	Eagan-Inver Grove WPA	\$	162,370	2025
Metro	Elm Creek WPA	\$	373,590	2025
Metro	Lower Minnesota River WPA	\$	217,485	2025
Metro	Lower Mississippi River WPA	\$	208,410	2025
29	Lower St. Croix River (Metro)	\$	1,266,380	2025
Metro	Minnehaha Creek WPA	\$	424,534	2025
Metro	Mississippi WPA	\$	176,951	2025
Metro	Nine Mile Creek WPA	\$	195,026	2025
Metro	Pioneer-Sarah Creek WPA	\$	240,415	2025
Metro	Prior Lake-Spring Lake WPA	\$	169,935	2025
Metro	Ramsey Washington Metro WPA	\$	230,182	2025
Metro	Rice Creek WPA	\$	448,016	2025
Metro	Richfield-Bloomington WPA	\$	114,644	2025
Metro	Riley-Purgatory-Bluff Creek WPA	\$	197,194	2025
15	Rum River (Metro)	\$	569,378	2025
Metro	Scott County WPA	\$	646,054	2025
Metro	Shingle Creek WPA	\$	191,662	2025
Metro	South Washington WPA	\$	228,539	2025
Metro	Vadnais Lake Area WPA	\$	147,921	2025
Metro	Vermillion River WPA	\$	717,191	2025
Metro	West Mississippi WPA	\$	152,299	2025
	Metro Subtotal	\$	9,000,000	

Table 2. FY 2024-2025 WBIF Grant Seven County Metro Allocations





BOARD OF WATER AND SOIL RESOURCES



Watershed-Based Implementation Funding FY24-25 Policy

From the Board of Water and Soil Resources, State of Minnesota

Effective:August 23, 2023Approval:Board Decision #23-55

Policy Statement

This policy provides expectations for implementation activities conducted via the Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) Clean Water Fund Watershed Based Implementation Funding (WBIF) program as defined by the Clean Water Fund appropriation under Laws of Minnesota 2023, Chapter 40, Article 2, Section 6 (a).

The Clean Water Fund was established to implement part of Article XI, Section 15, of the Minnesota Constitution, and Minnesota Statutes §114D with the purpose of protecting, enhancing, and restoring water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams and to protect groundwater and drinking water sources from degradation.

Reason for the policy

These funds are specifically to be used to advance Minnesota's water resource goals through prioritized and targeted cost-effective actions with measurable water quality results. The primary purpose of activities funded through this program is to implement projects and programs that protect, enhance, and restore surface water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams; protect groundwater from degradation; and protect drinking water sources.

Grant Administration

BWSR will use grant agreements for assurance of deliverables and compliance with appropriate statutes, rules and established policies. Willful or negligent disregard of relevant statutes, rules and policies may lead to imposition of financial penalties or future sanctions on the grant recipient. BWSR's Grants Administration Manual (GAM) provides the primary framework for local management of all state grants administered by BWSR (http://www.bwsr.state.mn.us/grants/manual/).

Program Requirements

1. Eligibility

All grantees must be in compliance with applicable federal, state, and local laws, policies, ordinances, rules, and regulations. Recipients who have previously received a grant from BWSR must be in compliance with BWSR requirements for grantee website and eLINK reporting before grant execution and payment

For areas outside of the seven-county Twin Cities Metropolitan Area: To be eligible, local governments must have a current state approved and locally adopted comprehensive watershed management plan authorized under Minnesota statutes §103B.101, Subd. 14 or §103B.801 and have entered into an implementation agreement with other members of the planning partnership. If a local government within the geographic area of the plan has not adopted the plan, these funds can still be spent on implementation in that area by another eligible local government.

In the seven-county Twin Cities Metropolitan (Metro) Area: To be eligible, counties, watershed districts, watershed management organizations, soil and water conservation districts¹, and municipalities² must have a current state approved and locally adopted watershed management plan as required under §103B.231, county groundwater plan authorized under §103B.255, or soil and water conservation district comprehensive plan under Minnesota statutes §103C.331, Subd. 11. Participants, including one representative from each watershed district, watershed management organization, soil and water conservation district³, county with a county groundwater plan, up to two municipalities, must coordinate within the designated watershed planning areas before submitting a watershed-based implementation funding budget request that is prioritized, targeted and measurable.

Federally Recognized Minnesota Tribal Nations are eligible if they are implementing projects or programs for the purpose of this funding that are identified in a state approved 1) comprehensive watershed management plan developed under the One Watershed, One Plan program, or 2) plan developed under the seven-county metropolitan groundwater or surface water management frameworks.

BWSR staff will work with Minnesota Tribal Nations and implementing partners regarding tribal interest in this program.

2. Match Requirements

All grants require a non-state match equal to at least 10% of the amount of the Watershed Based Implementation Funding received. Match can be provided by landowners, land occupiers, private organizations, local governments or other non-state sources. Match can be in the form of cash or the cash value of services or materials contributed to the accomplishment of grant objectives.

3. Eligible Activities

All eligible activities must have a primary benefit towards water quality. Activities that result in multiple benefits are strongly encouraged. Eligible activities must be identified in the implementation section of a state approved,

¹ BWSR has established the content and process for metro soil and water conservation districts to develop an enhanced comprehensive plan consistent with Minnesota Statutes §103C.331 if the SWCD determines that an eligible 103B plan does not sufficiently and comprehensively include their activities. The plan content must include priority issues, measurable goals, and a targeted implementation action table. The process must include stakeholder input, establishment of an advisory committee, a public notice and comment period, a public hearing, and BWSR Board approval. See the <u>Metro Enhanced SWCD Comprehensive Plan Options</u> document on the BWSR website: https://bwsr.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/2022-02/Metro%20SWCD%20Comp%20Plan%20Options_v2.pdf

² Municipalities (cities and townships) in the seven-county metropolitan area are eligible if they have a water plan that has been approved by a watershed district or a watershed management organization as provided under Minn. Stat. 103B.235.

³ Including Hennepin and Ramsey Counties if they have an enhanced comprehensive plan authorized under Minn. Statute 103C.331.

locally adopted comprehensive watershed management plan developed under Minnesota statutes §103B.101, Subd. 14 or §103B.801, watershed management plan required under §103B.231, county groundwater plan authorized under §103B.255, or a Metro soil and water conservation district enhanced plan as identified in the "Metro Enhanced SWCD Comprehensive Plan Options Guidance

Document" (<u>https://bwsr.state.mn.us/watershed-based-implementation-funding-program</u>) and authorized under §103C.331.

Eligible activities can consist of structural and non-structural activities; program and project support, including staffing; easements; and grant management and reporting. Technical and engineering assistance necessary to implement these activities are considered essential and are eligible to be included. The BWSR website has activity category and practice lists (not all are eligible for this grant), see https://bwsr.state.mn.us/elink-guidance-activity-categories;

The following categories describe the eligible activities in more detail:

Structural and non-structural practices and activities

- All structural practices must be designed and maintained for a minimum effective life of ten years for best
 management practices and 25 years for capital improvement practices. The beginning date for a practice's
 effective life is the same date final payment is approved and the project is considered complete. Where
 questions arise under this section, the effective lifespan of structural practices and projects shall be defined
 by current and acceptable design standards or criteria as defined in Section 5: Technical Expertise.
- Incentive payments should be reasonable and justifiable, supported by grant recipient policy, consistent
 with prevailing local conditions, and must be based on established standards. BWSR reserves the right to
 review and approve incentive payment rates established by grant recipient policy. Incentives to install or
 adopt best management practices must have a maximum duration of three years with a goal of ongoing
 landowner adoption unless otherwise approved by the Assistant Director of Regional Operations prior to
 work plan approval.
- Non-structural practices and activities that supplement or exceed current minimum state standards or
 procedures for protection, enhancement, and restoration of water quality in lakes, rivers, and streams or
 that protect groundwater and drinking water sources from degradation are eligible. Any projects proposing
 to provide financial assistance for installing or adopting non-structural land management practices for a
 duration longer than three years must be reviewed by BWSR staff and approved by the Assistant Director of
 Regional Operations prior to workplan approval.

Program and project support

• Staffing and/or technical and engineering assistance necessary to implement these activities.

- Public participation and engagement, equipment⁴, and other activities necessary for the implementation of water quality practices and programs consistent with the purposes of these funds.
- Actual technical and administrative expenses to advance plan implementation, site investigations and assessments, design and cost estimates, construction or installation supervision, and inspections.
- Developing of ordinances to protect water quality (example: Minimal Impact Design Standards) and must supplement existing federal/state/local requirements.

Easements

Easements and payment amounts must be reviewed and approved by BWSR staff prior to expenditure of grant funds to acquire an easement. When implementing perpetual easements, state easement payments shall not exceed current standard Reinvest in Minnesota (RIM) rates.

Grant management and reporting

Eligible activities include local grant administration, management, and reporting that are directly related to and necessary for implementing the project or activity. All grant recipients are required to report on the outcomes, activities, and accomplishments of Clean Water Fund grants. Grant management and reporting expenditures must be documented according to the Grants Administration Manual (See Reporting Requirements for BWSR Grants).

WBIF Specific Requirements

The following activities have specific definitions and requirements in addition to the Grants Administration Manual:

In-lake or in-channel treatment

Eligible practices include management practices such as rough fish management, vegetation management, lake drawdown, and alum treatments that have been identified as an implementation activity in a TMDL study or Watershed Restoration and Protection Strategies report and/or in a comprehensive watershed management plan or metro watershed management plan. Eligible expenses include only initial costs for design and implementation. All subsequent applications and treatments under this subsection are considered to be a local operation and maintenance expense responsibility. A feasibility study must be completed, reviewed and approved by BWSR staff prior to these activities being proposed in a grant work plan. The feasibility study must include:

- a) Lake and watershed information based on data that has been collected within the last 10-years (at minimum, include lake morphology and depth, summary of water quality information, and the assessment of aquatic invasive species);
- b) Description of internal load vs. external load nutrient reductions needed to meet the state's water quality standard;

⁴ See the Allowable and Unallowable Costs section of the Grants Administration Manual for Capital Equipment Purchases.

- c) History of projects completed in the lake's watershed (if none have been completed, that should be stated), as well as other in-lake activities if applicable;
- d) Cost benefit analysis of all options considered and reasons given for why you are choosing the proposed activities;
- e) Projected effective life of the proposed activities;
- f) Expected water quality outcome of the proposed activity;
- g) Plan for monitoring water quality to assure the proposed activity's total phosphorus goal will be achieved during its effective life (monitoring plans should include monitoring through the effective life), and
- h) For activities related to rough fish (example carp), the feasibility study must also include:
 - i. Methods used to estimate adult and juvenile carp populations;
 - ii. Description of the known interconnectedness of waterbodies (lakes, ponds, streams, wetlands, etc.);
 - iii. Identified nursery areas;
 - iv. Methods used to track carp movement;
 - v. Proposed actions to limit recruitment and movement; and
 - vi. Proposed actions to reduce adult carp populations.

Feedlots

Eligible practices are limited to: livestock management systems that were constructed before October 23, 2000; and livestock operations registered with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Database or its equivalent, not classified as a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO), and with less than 500 animal units (AUs) in accordance with Minnesota Rule Chapter 7020. BWSR reserves the right to deny, postpone or cancel funding where financial penalties related to violations have been imposed on the operator.

- a) Funded projects must comply with standards in MN Rule Chapter 7020 upon completion.
- b) Eligible practices are limited to best management practices listed by the Minnesota NRCS.
- c) Eligible practices and project components must meet all applicable local, State, and federal standards and permitting requirements.
- d) Feedlot roof structures are eligible up to \$100,000 per project with state grant funds and not to exceed 100% of construction costs.
- e) Feedlot relocations are eligible, up to \$100,000 per project with state grant funds and not to exceed 100% of the construction costs. The existing eligible feedlot must be permanently closed in accordance with local and State requirements. The existing and relocated livestock waste management systems sites are considered one project for grant funding.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems.

- a) Local governments should first exhaust SSTS grant funding from the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency.
- b) Eligible activities are limited to identified imminent threat to public health systems (ITPHS) and systems that fail to protect groundwater. Land occupiers must meet low-income thresholds. Low-income guidelines from U.S Rural Development are strongly encouraged as the basis for the definition of low income.
- c) Proposed community wastewater treatment solutions involving multiple landowners are eligible for funding but must be listed on the MPCA's Project Priority List (PPL) and have a Community Assessment Report (CAR)

or facilities plan (Minn. Rule 7077.0272) developed prior to work plan submittal. For community wastewater system applications that include ITPHS, systems that fail to protect groundwater are also eligible.

 d) In an unsewered area that is connecting into a sewer line to a municipal wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), the costs associated with connecting the home to the sewer line is eligible for funding if the criteria in b. and c. above are met.

Drainage Systems

Funds can be used as an external source of funding for Minnesota Statutes §103E.011 Subd. 5 to facilitate multipurpose drainage management practices with a primary purpose of improving water quality to reduce erosion and sedimentation and provide secondary benefits of reducing peak flows and flooding while protecting drainage system efficiency and reducing drainage system maintenance.

4. Ineligible Activities

Activities that do not have a primary benefit of water quality are ineligible. Common examples include:

- a. Water quality monitoring such as, but not limited to, routine, baseline, diagnostic, or effectiveness monitoring. This includes both surface and groundwater monitoring activities.
- b. Household water conservation appliances and water fixtures.
- c. Wastewater treatment systems with the exception of Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems that are listed in the Eligible Activities section above.
- d. Municipal drinking water supply facilities or individual drinking water treatment systems.
- e. Stormwater conveyances that collect and move runoff, but do not provide water quality treatment benefit.
- f. Replacement, realignment or creation of bridges, trails or roads.
- g. Aquatic plant harvesting.
- h. Routine maintenance activities or repair of capital equipment and infrastructure within the effective life of existing practices or projects.
- i. Feedlots
 - 1) Feedlot expansions beyond state registered number of animal units, and
 - 2) Slats placed on top of manure storage structures.
- j. Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)
 - 1) Small community wastewater treatment systems serving over 10,000 gallons per day with a soil treatment system, and
 - 2) A small community wastewater treatment system that discharges treated sewage effluent directly to surface waters without land treatment.
- k. Drainage management
 - Drain tile, except for tile outlets required for water and sediment control basins, tile required to make eligible drainage water management practices function, tile required to collect and move runoff to treatment system, and dense pattern tile to replace open tile inlet(s).
 - 2) Ditching except if needed for the creation of a storage and treatment wetland restoration.

- 3) Back-flow preventing flap gates on side inlet structure pipes where a system-wide analysis has not been completed.
- 4) Continuous berms greater than an average of 3 feet high (above existing ground) along Chapter 103E drainage ditches.
- I. Fee title land acquisition or easement costs, unless specifically allowed. If not specifically allowed, land acquisition and easement costs can count toward the required match if directly associated with the project and incurred within the grant period (costs may count towards match).
- m. Buffers or other alternative practices that are required by law (e.g., Buffer Law, Drainage Law, Shoreland Law).
- n. Contribution to a contingency or reserve fund or payment(s) to an equipment replacement fund that extends beyond the grant agreement period.
- o. Activities that outlet landlocked basins.
- p. Development and delivery of educational activities and curriculum that do not support or lead to the implementation of water quality practices.
- q. Components required by 103E Drainage Law.
- r. Any project that contributes to, or otherwise is used to replace wetlands impacted under the Wetland Conservation Act (per Minn. Rules 8420).
- s. Activities required under the Groundwater Protection Rule.
- t. Permanent stormwater treatment activities required to only meet the minimum requirements in Section 15 (Permanent Stormwater Treatment System) of the NPDES Construction Stormwater Permit which addresses development projects that creates a net increase of one or more acres of cumulative impervious surface.

5. Technical Expertise

Consistent with the Grants Administration Manual (GAM), grantees have the following responsibilities to ensure long-term public benefit of projects. See the following sections of the GAM for more details: Technical Quality Assurances (TQA), Projects and Practices Assurances (PPA), Operation, Maintenance, and Inspection of Practices (OMIP), and Implementing Contracts with Landowners (ICL).

- **Technical Assistance Providers.** Ensure that identified technical assistance provider(s) have the appropriate technical expertise, skills, and training to their assigned role(s). (TQA)
- **Standards.** Ensure the use of appropriate standards for design and installation. Innovative approaches may be incorporated on a case-by-case basis. (TQA)
- **Vegetation Requirements.** Non-structural vegetative practices must follow the Native Vegetation Establishment and Enhancement Guidelines, see https://bwsr.state.mn.us/node/8806.
- **Project Assurances.** Provide assurances that landowners or land occupiers receiving this funding will keep the practice in place for its intended use for the expected lifespan of the practice. (PPA)
- **Certification.** Certify that the project was installed according to applicable plans and specifications. (TQA)
- **Operation and Maintenance.** Ensure an appropriate operation and maintenance plan is developed by qualified staff that identifies necessary activities and timing. (TQA, OMIP)

• **Periodic Project Inspection.** Conduct periodic project inspections to confirm the operation and maintenance plan is being followed and the project has not been altered or removed. (TQA, OMIP)

6. BWSR Grant Budget Request, Work Plan, Reporting and Reconciliation Requirements

Activities must be first submitted through a budget request and work plan that will be reviewed by BWSR. The work plan must be approved by BWSR prior to funds being distributed. Metro grantees must request state funds that equal or exceed \$50,000. Applications submitted that do not meet this minimum dollar amount will not be accepted.

BWSR staff is authorized to develop grant agreements and requirements and processes for budget requests. work plans, project outcomes reporting, fiscal reconciliations, and grant closeouts. In the event there is a violation of the terms of the grant agreement, BWSR will enforce the grant agreement and evaluate appropriate actions, up to and including repayment of grant funds at a rate up to 100% of the grant agreement.

Important information below:

- All grantees must follow the relevant elements of the Grants Administration Manual policy and guidance including requirements for proposed work plan revisions and grant amendments.
- The grantee board has the authority and responsibility to approve the expenditure of funds within their own organization. The approval or denial of individual expenditures of funds must be documented in the grantee board's meeting minutes.
- Funds repaid to a grantee from a landowner or other land occupier who has failed to maintain a practice for its effective life must be reallocated to a local cost share program or project account consistent with MN Statutes Chapter 114D.50, less the administrative cost of the grantee.

7. Assurance Measures

WBIF assurance measures are based upon fiscal integrity and accountability for achieving measurable progress towards water quality elements of eligible watershed management or comprehensive watershed management plans. BWSR will use the measures to summarize and communicate about the use of WBIF relative to BWSR's expectations in accelerating clean water outcomes. The following assurance measures are supplemental to existing reporting and on-going grant monitoring efforts.

- 1. Prioritized, targeted, and measurable work is making progress toward achieving clean water goals.
- 2. Programs, projects, and practices are being implemented in priority areas.
- 3. Grant work is on-schedule and on-budget.
- 4. Leverage of non-state funds.

History

This policy may be reviewed annually and updated as needed.

Description	Date
This policy was originally created in 2019.	9/25/19
This policy was updated in 2021 to add a few ineligible activities and clarify language related to eligible activities and entities and change Metro soil and water conservation district annual work plans to enhanced plans under eligible activities.	10/27/2021
This policy was updated in 2023 to add tribal nations to eligibility list, and clarify language related to eligible and ineligible activities.	6/28/2023