### WCA Wetland Bank Scoping Review

### TEP Findings & Recommendations

|  |
| --- |
| Sponsor’s Name |
|  |
| Project Name/Number (if applicable) |
| County | Township Name | Section No. | Township No. |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sponsor’s Relationship to Property |
| **[ ]**  | **Fee Title Owner** | **[ ]**  | **Contract for Deed Owner** | **[ ]**  | **Contract or Agreement with Landowner** | **[ ]**  | **Other:** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Local Government Unit (LGU) Name | LGU TEP Representative |
|  |  |
| SWCD TEP Member (if different from above) |
|  |
| BWSR TEP Member |
|  |

1. [ ]  Yes [ ]  No Has adequate information been provided in the scoping document submittal to facilitate a meaningful review? If no, describe what additional information is necessary.

1. [ ]  Yes [ ]  No Have one or more members of the TEP reviewed the site in the field? If yes, identify date(s) of field review:

1. Based on the information provided, describe the TEP’s opinion in regard to the suitability of the site and/or project to generate eligible wetland banking credits.

1. Describe any potential issues/problems with the site and/or project (e.g. conflicting easements, detrimental effects on neighboring properties, erosive areas, conflicting surrounding land uses, etc.).

1. Describe any recommendations to the landowner/project sponsor in regard to developing a good bank plan associated with the identified project site.

SWCD Representative (Date) BWSR Representative (Date)

LGU Representative (Date) DNR Representative (if applicable) (Date)

*If TEP recommendation is not unanimous, note dissenting votes with an asterisk and explain. Provide additional TEP comments and recommendations on a separate sheet and attach to this finding of fact form.*