###  WCA Wetland Bank Full Application Review

### TEP Findings & Recommendations

|  |
| --- |
| Sponsor’s Name |
|  |
| Project Name/Number (if applicable) |
| County | Township Name | Section No. | Township No. |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sponsor’s Relationship to Property |
| **[ ]**  | **Fee Title Owner** | **[ ]**  | **Contract for Deed Owner** | **[ ]**  | **Contract or Agreement with Landowner** | **[ ]**  | **Other:** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Local Government Unit (LGU) Name | LGU TEP Representative |
|  |  |
| SWCD TEP Member (if different from above) |
|  |
| BWSR TEP Member |
|  |

1. [ ]  Yes [ ]  No Has the required information been provided/addressed in the full application submittal? If no, describe what additional information is necessary.

1. [ ]  Yes [ ]  No Has the project completed scoping and concept plan reviews? If no, explain why (e.g. project reviewed under an old application, modification of an existing application, TEP recommended skipping steps, etc.):

1. [ ]  Yes [ ]  No Does the TEP recommend approval of the bank plan application by the LGU? If no, explain why. If yes, describe any conditions that the TEP recommends being placed on the approval other than the standard conditions associated with establishing any wetland bank (e.g. title insurance, easement boundary survey, recorded conservation easement).

SWCD Representative (Date) BWSR Representative (Date)

LGU Representative (Date) DNR Representative (if applicable) (Date)

*If TEP recommendation is not unanimous, note dissenting votes with an asterisk and explain. Provide additional TEP comments and recommendations on a separate sheet and attach to this finding of fact form.*