### WCA Wetland Bank Scoping Review

### TEP Findings & Recommendations

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sponsor’s Name | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Name/Number (if applicable) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County | | | | Township Name | | | Section No. | | | Township No. | |
|  | | | |  | | |  | | |  | |
| Sponsor’s Relationship to Property | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | **Fee Title Owner** |  | **Contract for Deed Owner** | |  | **Contract or Agreement with Landowner** | |  | **Other:** | |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Local Government Unit (LGU) Name | LGU TEP Representative |
|  |  |
| SWCD TEP Member (if different from above) | |
|  | |
| BWSR TEP Member | |
|  | |

1. Yes  No Has adequate information been provided in the scoping document submittal to facilitate a meaningful review? If no, describe what additional information is necessary.

1. Yes  No Have one or more members of the TEP reviewed the site in the field? If yes, identify date(s) of field review:

1. Based on the information provided, describe the TEP’s opinion in regard to the suitability of the site and/or project to generate eligible wetland banking credits.

1. Describe any potential issues/problems with the site and/or project (e.g. conflicting easements, detrimental effects on neighboring properties, erosive areas, conflicting surrounding land uses, etc.).

1. Describe any recommendations to the landowner/project sponsor in regard to developing a good bank plan associated with the identified project site.

SWCD Representative (Date) BWSR Representative (Date)

LGU Representative (Date) DNR Representative (if applicable) (Date)

*If TEP recommendation is not unanimous, note dissenting votes with an asterisk and explain. Provide additional TEP comments and recommendations on a separate sheet and attach to this finding of fact form.*