

Watershed-Based Performance Standards

Watershed Partnership Name: _____

Performance Area	Performance Standard	Level of Review	Rating		
			Yes, No, Unsure or N/A		
			YES	NO	Unsure or N/A
General Administration	★ High Performance standard	I Annual Compliance			
	■ Best Standard/practice	II BWSR Staff Review & Assessment			
	● Basic Requirement				
	● Each participating member has adopted the comprehensive watershed management plan	I			
	● Coordinator or lead staff person(s) identified for the partnership	II			
	■ Operational guidelines for fiscal procedures exist and are current	II			
	■ Financial Reports provided to Policy Committee on annual basis	II			
	● eLINK Grant Report(s): submitted on time (annual or biannual if funds exceed \$500,000)	I			
	● Assurance Measure 1: Prioritized, targeted, and measurable work is making progress toward achieving clean water goals	II			
	● Assurance Measure 2: Programs, projects, and practices are being implemented in priority areas	II			
	● Assurance Measure 3: Grant work is on-schedule and on-budget	II			
	● Assurance Measure 4: Leverage of non-state funds	II			
★ Project tracking system is used by watershed partnership to track all work that contributes to plan goals	II				
★ Shared service opportunities are leveraged between partners	II				
Policy Committee	● Conflict of Interest policy exists and is reviewed/signed by the JPE or fiscal agent	II			
	● The policy committee or board is involved in project funding discussions or decision making, as defined by an implementation agreement	II			
	● Committee membership is reviewed/updated annually	II			
	■ Training: Orientation on comprehensive watershed management plans is provided to new policy committee members	II			
	★ Training: efforts are made to inform on watershed related topics	II			
	■ Reviewed governing documents (bylaws, formal agreements) within the last 5 years (if applicable)	II			
Advisory Committee	● Technical advisory committee participates in plan development, implementation, and amendments	I			
	■ Advisory committee members meet at least once annually	II			
	■ Water quality, hydrologic, and monitoring trends are used to evaluate progress towards plan/resource goals	II			
	★ Technical advisory committee reviews members	II			
	★ Agency members provide updates on agency initiatives, projects, and other information related to the watershed	II			
	★ Water quality trends tracked for priority water bodies	II			
Steering Committee	● Steering committee meets at least four times a year and reviews plan goals and actions	II			
	● Staff has open (2-way) communication about comprehensive watershed management plan activities with policy committee and local boards/councils	II			
	● Steering committee coordinates a mid-plan review to evaluate progress toward plan goals	I			
	■ Watershed partners solicit stakeholder input within the last year	II			
	■ An annual work plan (outside of WBIF grant) is developed and implemented	II			
	■ Individual partner governing boards/councils are updated on annual workplan activities	II			

	★ Partnership annually reviews progress towards water quality goals identified in the CWMP	II			
Communication & Coordination	● Partnership website(s): contain board meeting information, partner contact information, committee membership, and annual eLINK reports – also prominently displays the Clean Water, Land, and Legacy Logo and a link to the Legislative Coordinating Commission website	I			
	● Partnership website(s) host a current copy of the plan and is maintained and updated regularly	I			
	■ Communication pieces sent that highlights work and program opportunities	II			
	■ Public education materials are watershed focused and reinforce high priority issues and actions to address plan goals	II			
	★ Watershed partners have developed new partnerships with partners outside of the planning/implementation partnership	II			